Written Texts for Task One

Youth issue: AI

https://time.com/7098524/teenagers-ai-risk-lawmakers/

https://www.apa.org/monitor/2025/01/trends-classrooms-artificial-intelligence

https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/article/2024/aug/24/ai-cheating-chat-gpt-openai-writing-essays-school-university

AI cheating is overwhelming the education system – but teachers shouldn’t despair

This article is more than 5 months old

John Naughton

With adjustments to the way we teach students to think about writing, we can shift the emphasis from product to process

Sun 25 Aug 2024 01.00 AEST

Share

123

It’s getting close to the beginning of term. Parents are starting to fret about lunch packs, school uniforms and schoolbooks. School leavers who have university places are wondering what freshers’ week will be like. And some university professors, especially in the humanities, will be apprehensively pondering how to deal with students who are already more adept users of large language models (LLMs) than they are.

They're right to be concerned. As Ian Bogost, a professor of film and media *and* computer science at Washington University in St Louis, puts it: "If the first year of AI college ended in a feeling of dismay, the situation has now devolved into absurdism. Teachers struggle to continue teaching even as they wonder whether they are grading students or computers; in the meantime, an endless AI cheating and detection arms race plays out in the background." – Metaphor. add depth to writing by encouraging the reader to see ideas in new ways. They're often used to convey complex or abstract ideas more effectively.

Predictably, that arms race is already heating up. Metaphor The *Wall Street Journal* reported recently that: "OpenAI has a method to reliably detect when someone uses ChatGPT to write an essay or research paper. The company hasn't released it despite widespread concerns about students using artificial intelligence to cheat." This refusal infuriates those sectors of academia that touchingly imagine that there must be a technical fix for the "cheating" problem. Clearly they haven't read the Association for Computing Machinery's statement on principles for the development of systems to detect generative AI content, which says: "reliably detecting the output of generative AI systems without an embedded watermark is beyond the current state of the art, which is unlikely to change in a projectable timeframe". And digital watermarks, though useful, can also be problematic.

As Alison Gopnik puts it, LLMs are 'cultural technologies', like writing, print, libraries... tools for human augmentation, not replacement

LLMs are a burning issue for the humanities in particular because the essay is such a key pedagogical tool for teaching students how to research, think and write. More importantly, perhaps, the essay also plays a central role in how they are graded and assessed. The bad news is that LLMs threaten to make that venerable pedagogy untenable. And that there's no technical fix in sight. Tone helps convey the author's stance and influences how readers interpret the message or mood of the text.

The good news is that the problem isn't insoluble – if educators in these disciplines are willing to rethink and adapt their teaching to the new reality. Other pedagogies are available. But they require, if not a change of heart, two changes of mindset.

The first is an acceptance that LLMs – as the distinguished Berkeley psychologist Alison Gopnik puts it – are "cultural technologies", like writing, print, libraries and internet search. In other words, they are tools for human *augmentation*, not replacement. Quote adds credibility and authority by including an experts opinion

Second, and more importantly perhaps, is a need to reinforce in students' minds the importance of writing as a *process*. I think it was EM Forster who once said that there are two kinds of writer: those who know what they think and write it; and those who find out what they think by trying to write it. The vast majority of humanity belongs in the latter camp – which is why the process of writing is so good for the intellect. It forces one to figure out lines of argument that are coherent, choose evidence that is relevant, find sources of information and inspiration that are useful, and – most importantly – learn the craft of expressing yourself in sentences that are readable and clear. For many people it isn't easy

and doesn't come naturally – which is why students resort to ChatGPT even when asked to write 500 words to introduce themselves to their classmates.

Josh Brake, an American academic who writes wisely about engaging with AI rather than trying to Dialogue helps the reader better understand what's being told by the text "integrate" it into the classroom, thinks that it's worth making abundantly clear to students the value of writing as an intellectual activity. "If your students didn't already see the value of writing as a process by which *you* think, then of course they will be curious about farming the labour out to an LLM. And if writing (or any other task for that matter) is truly only about the product, then why not? If the means to the end are unimportant, then why not outsource it?" Rhetorical Question It prompts the reader to consider the question more deeply, affecting their perspective.

In the end, the problem that LLMs pose for academia can be solved, but doing so will require fresh thinking and a different approach to teaching and learning in some disciplines. The bigger problem is the glacial pace Metaphor at which universities tend to move. I know this from experience. Way back in October 1995, the American scholar Eli Noam published a very perceptive article – "Electronics and the Dim Future of the University" – in *Science*. Between 1998 and 2001, I asked every UK vice-chancellor or senior university administrator I met what they thought of it. Blank stares all round.

Still, things have improved since then: at least now they've all heard of ChatGPT.

By the way, ChatGPT misspelled your name.

Visual Techniques:

- Rule of third, if you split the image into 9 parts the student and teacher are along the lines, this directs the viewer's focus to the most important parts.
- Simplicity, the simple, uncluttered black and white image makes the message is clear and easy to understand.

- Imagery, the way that the imagery is used is to evoke humour out of the topic.
- Framing is used having the important subject in the centre of the image which in this image is the test created by gpt.